I. FLAG SALUTE

II. ROLL CALL

III. SPECIAL ORDER OF BUSINESS
   A. Vladivostok Delegates
   B. Stanley Zhang Report on his visit to Mishan City, China

IV. APPROVAL OF MINUTES
   A. November 6, 2000 – Regular Meeting 2000-21

V. MANAGER’S REQUEST FOR AGENDA CHANGES

VI. PUBLIC PARTICIPATION ON NON-AGENDA ITEMS. (Not to exceed a total of 20 minutes nor more than 5 minutes for any individual).

VII. CONSENT AGENDA
   A. Public and Assembly Requests for Consent Agenda Changes, Other Than Ordinances for Introduction
   B. Assembly Action
      1. Ordinances for Introduction
         a. Ordinance 2000-47
            An Ordinance Amending the Animal Control Code Regarding Objectionable Dogs to Provide an Exception for Noise Resulting from a Conditional or Allowable Use in an Industrial Zone.

            This ordinance complements ordinance 2000-44(㎝). Both of the ordinances deal with noise. Ordinance 2000-44 amends our "disturbing the
peace" offense in several ways, including an exception for noises by permitted uses in industrial zones.

This ordinance establishes a similar exception for cases involving noise from "objectionable animals" if the noise results from a permitted use in an industrial zone. The most likely such use would be a commercial kennel.

I recommend this ordinance be introduced and set for public hearing at the next regular meeting.

b. Ordinance No. 2000-11 (Y)
An Ordinance Appropriating To The Manager The Sum Of $2,970 For A Museum Assessment Program At The Juneau Douglas Museum. Such Funds Provided By The Institute Of Museum And Library Services.

This ordinance appropriates a $2,970 grant from the Institute of Museum and Library Services (IMLS) for a Museum Assessment Program III Survey. The grant will bring two museum professionals to Juneau to evaluate the Museum’s public programs. Upon completion of the evaluation, the consultants will make a written recommendation for improvement to the public programs. This is the fourth, and last, of a series of MAP assessments the City Museum has participated in since 1988. These have helped our museum assess our strength and weaknesses and plan for the future. The MAP III is especially timely with the increased diversity of the Museum’s local and visiting audiences.

According to IMLS, “The MAP program has provided nearly 4,500 successful assessments, leading to improved professional standards and practices within museums of all sizes.” A copy of the grant proposal and agreement can be found in your packets.

I recommend this ordinance be introduced and set for public hearing at the next regular meeting.

c. Ordinance No. 2000-11(Z)
An Ordinance Appropriating To The Manager The Sum Of $67,000 For A Transportation Plan For The Riverside Drive Corridor. Such Funds Provided By The Alaska Department Of Transportation And Public Facilities.

This ordinance appropriates a $67,000 grant from the Alaska Department of Transportation and Public Facilities for multimodal transportation study for the Riverside Drive corridor. The grant funds will be used to hire a consulting team to identify and address the transportation demands and desires of current and future users of the corridor, including new facilities
planned for Dimond Park. Considering the effects to the larger Mendenhall Valley roadway system, the consultant will recommend preferred alignment, design and primary intersection controls for the Riverside Drive corridor.

The grant has a match requirement of $6,650, which the CBJ will meet through staff time devoted to the project. The matching funds will be provided through Community Development Department’s FY01 budget.

The project will commence immediately upon appropriation of the grant and be completed by September 20, 2001.

A copy of the grant agreement and grant proposal can be found in your packets.

I recommend this ordinance be introduced and set for public hearing at the next regular meeting.

2. Resolutions

a. Resolution No. 2056
A Resolution Reaffirming Assembly Policy On Recycling, Disestablishing The Citizen’s Advisory Committee On Waste Management, And Repealing Resolution 1433.

This resolution continues the waste management policies contained in Resolution 1433 and disestablishes the Citizen’s Advisory Committee on Waste Management.

This resolution was originally introduced at the October 16, 2000 Assembly Meeting and was referred back to the Human Resources Committee for further recommendations. The Human Resources Committee (HRC) met on Monday, November 6, 2000 and reviewed the concerns of the Assembly regarding the junk cars and garbage bear problems. The HRC found that the bear issue could be addressed through the efforts of the newly formed Mayor's Ad Hoc Bear Committee. They also found that the junk car issue is one that has now been referred to the Assembly Finance Committee for action. Therefore they have recommended adoption of this resolution.

The members of the Waste Management Committee have given generously of their time, energy and talent to make Juneau a better community. The start-up of the recycling center marks the end of their efforts. This resolution disestablishes the Committee, but retains the good work it has done over the last ten years.
In light of the Human Resource Committee's recommendation, I recommend that this resolution be adopted.

b. Resolution 2060

A Resolution Authorizing the Manager to Submit to the State of Alaska an Application for a Federal Grant to Perform Stabilization Work on Historic Mining Buildings of the Jualpa Mine Camp Historic District Located in Last Chance Basin.

This project continues stabilization work on the historic buildings of the Alaska Juneau Gold Mining Company located in Last Chance Basin. The buildings are listed on the National Register of Historic Places. Phase I, II, III, and IV performed stabilization work to the Compressor Building, Transformer House, and Locomotive Repair Shop. The work is being phased to coincide with available funding sources and the ability of volunteer forces to perform the work.

The proposed project (Phase V) is anticipated to be the final phase of work on the Compressor Building and Transformer House. Work will include restoration of existing windows and doors; removal and replacement of rotted wood studs and mud sill; and lateral bracing for the roof trusses on the Transformer House.

The work will be coordinated and performed by volunteers of the Gastineau Channel Historical Society (GCHS). GCHS has performed similar work on the Compressor Building, Transformer House, and Locomotive Repair Shop during Phases I, II, III, and IV of the stabilization efforts. GCHS will arrange for the people, materials and equipment needed for the project. Community Development Department staff will administer the grant but will not perform work on the project.

This project is consistent with the goals and policies of the Juneau Comprehensive Plan, the Last Chance Basin Land Management Plan, the Parks and Recreation Comprehensive Plan, and the draft Historic Preservation Plan. The Historic Resources Advisory Committee has identified this project as a priority for historic preservation.

This is a federally funded matching grant (60% Federal, 40% CBJ). CBJ’s match will be the volunteer and staff time devoted to the project. Volunteer time is allowed as match based upon the going hourly rate for the type of services performed. The federal monies will be used to purchase materials, supplies, and rent equipment.
Project Budget:
a. Estimated Total Project Cost: $ 7,500.00
b. Federal Share: (60%) $ 4,500.00
c. Applicant's Share: (40%) $ 3,000.00

Source of Applicant's Share:
a. Cash: $ 0
b. Donated goods and services: $ 2,320.00
c. CBJ In-Kind Services: $ 680.00

I recommend that this resolution be adopted.

c. Resolution 2061
Alaska an Application for a Federal Grant to Plan, Develop, and Install Interpretive Signs to Identify Traditional Tlingit Places Throughout the City and Borough of Juneau.

This project would plan, develop, and install interpretive signs in a variety of locations throughout the CBJ. The interpretive signs would identify and inform the general public and visitors to Juneau about various historic, archaeological, and geographic places important to the traditions and culture of the local Tlingit people. The project would support and promote knowledge about the diversity of cultures that compose Juneau’s history.

Five specific traditional sites have been identified for this project including; the Indian Village (summer fishing camp); Auk Village (permanent village); Amalga Salt Chuck (natural fish weir); Auk Cape (canoe haul-out); and Douglas Indian Cemetery (burial grounds).

The work of the project would be performed through a combination of contracted services, volunteer services, and in-kind services of CBJ personnel. Contractors would research historic significance, develop graphic and text concepts, photo reproduction, sign panel construction, and installation of the signs at the sites. Volunteers would identify the traditional names and uses of the sites, assist with editing for historical content, and design sign mounting structures. Community Development Department staff would coordinate the project, submit all products to the Office of History and Archaeology for approval, and administer the grant contract.

This project is consistent with the goals and policies of the Juneau Comprehensive Plan and the draft Historic Preservation Plan. The Historic Resources Advisory Committee has identified this project as a priority for historic preservation.

This is a federally funded matching grant (60% Federal, 40% CBJ). CBJ’s match would be the volunteer time and staff time devoted to the project.
Federal funds would be used for contractual services, and materials for the project.

Project Budget:
- Estimated Total Project Cost: $32,500.00
- Federal Share: (60%) $19,500.00
- Applicant’s Share: (40%) $13,000.00

Source of Applicant’s Share:
- Cash: $0
- Donated goods and services: $5,000.00
- CBJ In-Kind Services: $8,000.00

I recommend this resolution be adopted.

3. Transfer Requests:
   a. Transfer Request T-698 - $100,000 from Lena Point Reservoir to Highlands Reconstruction II
   This Transfer Request moves $100,000 of FY00 Areawide Street Sales Tax from the Lena Reservoir project to a new project, Highlands Reconstruction II. These funds will be used to design reconstruction of Troy Avenue, Parks Street, Upper and Lower Evergreen Avenue. The Lena Reservoir bids for construction were considerably less than the engineer’s estimate, thus leaving a balance of funds that can be used for new projects. The funds for construction will come from future years of Areawide Street Sales Tax, which was renewed by voters at the recent Municipal Election. Actual construction will take two to three years.

   Troy Avenue, Parks Street and Evergreen Avenue were constructed in the 1950’s. The water, sewer and storm drain utilities, retaining walls and roadway surfaces are seriously deteriorated. This year the Sewer Utility replaced a major portion of the main line and a catch basin, but these are temporary repairs and do not affect the remaining old lines. Existing water lines are not adequate to provide fire flow to higher elevations and are old, brittle and failing. Sewer, water and combined storm drain mains are, in some places, on private property. These lines should be replaced in the public right-of-way for maintenance purposes.

   The Assembly Public Works and Facilities Committee approved this action at its October 4, 2000, meeting.

   After the transfer is approved, the fund available balances, budgets, and status for the projects will be as follows:
Transfer Status/Project Name                    Prior to Transfer              Prior to Transfer          After Transfer               Status
Transferred from:
W494-19/ Lena Point Reservoir $5,034,167 $2,712,294 $2,612,294 Ongoing

Transferred to:
R412-84/ Highlands Reconstruction II $ -0- $ -0- $100,000 New Project

4. Bid Awards:

a. **Bid No. E01-088: Bartlett Regional Hospital Food Service Modifications.**

Bids were opened on the subject project on November 13, 2000. The bid protest period expired at 4:30 p.m. on November 14, 2000. Results of the bid opening are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RESPONSIVE</th>
<th>BASE BID</th>
<th>Alternate No. 1</th>
<th>Alternate No. 2</th>
<th>TOTAL BID</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dawson Construction Co.</td>
<td>$1,567,000.00</td>
<td>$7,900.00</td>
<td>$3,450.00</td>
<td>$1,578,350.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Pacific Erectors, Inc.</td>
<td>$1,652,018.00</td>
<td>$12,364.00</td>
<td>$18,294.00</td>
<td>$1,682,676.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ty-Matt, Inc.</td>
<td>$1,772,950.00</td>
<td>$38,000.00</td>
<td>$11,000.00</td>
<td>$1,821,950.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coogan Construction Co.</td>
<td>$1,799,000.00</td>
<td>$18,500.00</td>
<td>$7,000.00</td>
<td>$1,824,500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Architect's Estimate</td>
<td>$1,587,723.00</td>
<td>$3,500.00</td>
<td>$3,500.00</td>
<td>$1,594,723.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The work consists of renovation and expansion of the existing food service area and dining area within an occupied and fully operational hospital.

Funding Source: Bartlett Regional Hospital
Total Project Funds: $2,302,118
CIP No. D374-65
Fund Number: 374-65-5-01-40-690
Construction Encumbrance: $1,578,350
Construction Contingency: $206,331
Consultant Design: $194,150
Consultant Contract Administration/Inspection: $90,800
I recommend award of this project to Dawson Construction Company in the amount bid, for a total award of $1,578,350.00.

b. **Bid No. E00-108: Lemon Creek Gravel Pit Contaminated Soil Removal and Disposal.**

The bid opened November 13, 2000 and the bid protest period expired at 4:30pm on November 14, 2000 with the following results:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Responsive</th>
<th>Total Bid</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bicknell, Inc.</td>
<td>$118,800.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arete Construction Corp.</td>
<td>$144,375.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Channel Construction, Inc.</td>
<td>$148,500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Engineer's Estimate</td>
<td>$120,000.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This project consists of the removal and disposal of approximately 1,100 cubic yards of petroleum contaminated soils from the Lemon Creek Gravel Pit. Disposal must be in accordance with all applicable state and local laws and permit conditions.

Funding Source: General Sales Tax, Municipal Matching Grant
Total Project Funds: $203,214
Fund Number: 380-32-5-01-06-690
Construction Encumbrance: $118,800
Construction Contingency: $10,000
In-house Design: $1,000
In-house Contract Administration/Inspection: $3,000

I recommend award of this project to Bicknell, Inc. in the amount bid, for a total award of $118,800.00.

---

**VIII. ORDINANCES FOR PUBLIC HEARING**

A. Ordinance No. 2000-44 (am)

An Ordinance Repealing And Reenacting The Offense Of Disturbing The Peace And Providing For The Imposition And Collection Of A Police Services Fee In Cases Requiring A Second Police Response.

The police department has been receiving an increasing number of complaints from the community involving sound systems mounted in vehicles, which disturb residents. Members of the Assembly have also been contacted in reference to the noise emanating from vehicles. The current ordinance (CBJ 42.20. 095 Disturbing the Peace) fails to adequately address this issue and makes enforcement difficult or impossible.
This addition to the ordinance (CBJ 42.20.095 (c)) makes it a violation for a person to play, cause to be played, or allow any music or sound from within or on any motor vehicle so that it the sound, music or vibration can be heard at a distance of more than 30 feet. There is also a provision that allows police officers to cite the owner of the vehicle, similar to a parking citation or passing a stopped school bus. This will allow the police officer to accept complaints from citizens who can provide a vehicle description and license number, rather than requiring a positive identification of the operator to take enforcement action.

Many times the police department is called to address the issue of parties or loud gatherings at a time of day (or night) when the noise disturbs other residents in the neighborhood. The current ordinance requires that the police notify the resident with the loud party that the noise is causing a problem and request they quite down before any enforcement action can be taken. The police find themselves making repeated responses to the same incident with little ability to cause the resident to take responsibility for the disturbance they are causing the community and eliminate the necessity of multiple police responses. Repeated responses to these calls remove officers from the community and prevent them from responding to other calls for service.

This revision to the ordinance (42.20.095 (e)) addresses this by allowing the police department to notify the person responsible for the party that if a second (or subsequent) response is required, that person is to be held financially liable for a police service fee to defray the reasonable cost of providing the additional police response(s). It is expected that this will provide a financial incentive for people to control their events. If that fails, the rest of the community will not have to pay for the time police spend controlling noisy parties.

Section (e) contains an amendment to the ordinance as introduced. The amendment changes the exception for noises resulting from uses conducted under development permit. The new language expands the exception to allowable as well as conditional uses, but limits the exception to industrial zones.

I recommend the public hearing on this ordinance be deferred until the next regular meeting so it can be adopted at the same time as its companion ordinance 2000-47.

B. Ordinance No. 2000-45
An Noncode Ordinance for the Relief of Ms. Dorothy Tow to Allow her to Increase the Density of Residential Structure in a Severe Avalanche Hazard Area.

This ordinance exempts Ms. Tow from CBJ 49.70.300(b)(1), which states:
Notwithstanding any other provision, no development any part of which is within a severe avalanche area shall, by the addition of bedrooms, conversions of buildings, or otherwise, increase the density of that parcel; provided, however, that a single-family house may be constructed on a vacant lot.

Ms. Tow started, but did not complete bedroom construction. This action allows her the same benefit as other residents in the area. The Lands Committee recommended the Assembly approve this non-code ordinance.

I recommend this ordinance adopted.

C. Ordinance No. 2000-46
An Ordinance Amending The Land Use Code Table Of Permissible Uses To Allow Major Utility Facilities As A Conditional Use In MU, Mixed Use, Zoning Districts.

This ordinance amends the Land Use Code, Table of Permissible Uses, to address a proposal by AEL&P to locate a substation in the vicinity of the Franklin Dock. The purpose of the substation is to provide power to cruise ships berthing at the dock. Currently this use and similar major utilities are not a permitted use in this MU, Mixed-Use zoning district.

I recommend this ordinance be adopted.

D. Ordinance No. 2000-11(X)
An Ordinance Appropriating To The Manager The Sum Of $149,300 For Purchase Of A Warehouse Located At The Rock Dump. Such Funds Provided By The Land Fund Unreserved Fund Balance.

This ordinance will appropriate $149,300 from the Land Fund Unreserved Fund Balance for payment to the Juneau Economic Development Council (JEDC) for the IHH warehouse located at the Rock Dump. JEDC owns the building as a result of the default of a loan made to IHH Industries. The land was leased from AJ Associates. JEDC made loans totaling $377,000 to IHH Industries to construct a modular housing factory on the site. Payments of $18,037.65 were received.

CBJ purchased the land from AJ Associates. $355,000 was allocated for the land purchase and $100,000 was allocated towards renovations needed for the storage facility. The sales tax appropriation for various projects, including reimbursements to the Land Fund, will be presented to the Assembly once project schedules and budgets are developed.

After the $149,300 payment is made, JEDC will convey their interests in the building to the City and Borough.
Half of the warehouse will be used for the school district storage needs; the other half will be used for various CBJ storage needs.

After this ordinance is adopted, the Land Fund Unreserved Fund Balance will be $1,332,434.

I recommend this ordinance be adopted.

IX. UNFINISHED BUSINESS

X. NEW BUSINESS

A. Field, et al. v. Planning Commission:

Appeal of the Approval of a Conditional Use Permit for the Operation of a Portable Asphalt Plant in the Lower West Mendenhall Valley (USE 2000-00060)

At its regular meeting on October 10, 2000, the Planning Commission approved an application by Montana Creek Development, Inc. for a conditional use permit (USE 2000-00060) to locate and operate a portable asphalt plant in the lower West Mendenhall Valley near the end of Crazy Horse Drive. On November 2, 2000, William Field and several other property owners bordering the proposed location for the plant appealed the Commission’s decision to the Assembly.

The Notice of Appeal and the Notice of Decision on USE 2000-00060 are in your packet.

The Assembly is the appeal agency for this appeal, and its actions throughout the appeal process are governed by CBJ 01.50, the Appellate Code. The Code requires that upon receiving an appeal, the Assembly, must first decide whether to accept or reject it. The standards applicable to this decision are set out at CBJ 01.50.030(e):

(e) Action by Appeal Agency Upon Receipt of a Notice of Appeal.

(1) Within thirty days of receipt of a notice of appeal by the appeal agency, the appeal agency shall notify the appellant of the acceptance or rejection of the appeal and, if rejected, the reasons for the rejection.

(2) The notice of appeal shall be liberally construed in order to preserve the rights of the appellant. The appeal agency may reject the appeal for failure to comply with these rules or if the notice of appeal does not state grounds upon which any of the relief requested may be granted.

William Field, Montana Creek Development, Inc., and the Community Development Department have been advised that this matter is before the Assembly.
The following procedural issues should be decided:

1. Will the Assembly accept the appeal?

2. Will the Assembly hear the appeal itself or assign to a hearing officer?

3. If the Assembly hears the appeal itself, will the Mayor preside, or will he designate a member as presiding officer?

This matter is before the Assembly sitting in its quasi-judicial capacity. Accordingly, members should avoid discussing the case outside the hearing process. With respect to this issue, the Appellate Code provides as follows:

**CBJ 01.50.230 IMPARTIALITY.** The functions of hearing officers and those appeal agency members participating in decisions shall be conducted in an impartial manner with due regard for the rights of all parties and the facts and the law, and consistent with the orderly and prompt dispatch of proceedings. Hearing officers and appeal agency members, except to the extent required for the disposition of ex parte matters authorized by law, shall not engage in interviews concerning the appeal with, or receive evidence or argument on the appeal from, a party, directly or indirectly, except upon opportunity for all other parties to be present. Copies of all communications with a hearing officer or appeal agency member concerning the appeal shall be served upon all parties.

Because this is an appeal, I have no recommendation on whether or how the Assembly should hear the appeal. After the Assembly has made its decisions on these issues, the Clerk will contact the parties and the presiding or hearing officer to notify them of the Assembly’s decision and to arrange for a pre-hearing conference or other proceedings.

**B. Malick v. Planning Commission:**


At its regular meeting on October 10, 2000, the Planning Commission denied an application by Daniel Malick and Kristine Trott for a conditional use permit (USE 2000-00040) for development of a commercial resort facility on their property located at 19100 Williwaw Way. On November 9, 2000, Daniel Malick and Kristine Trott appealed the Commission’s decision to the Assembly.

The Notice of Appeal and the Notice of Decision on USE 2000-00040 and are in your packet.
The Assembly is the appeal agency for this appeal, and its actions throughout the appeal process are governed by CBJ 01.50, the Appellate Code. The Code requires that upon receiving an appeal, the Assembly, must first decide whether to accept or reject it. The standards applicable to this decision are set out at CBJ 01.50.030(e):

(e) Action by Appeal Agency Upon Receipt of a Notice of Appeal.

1. Within thirty days of receipt of a notice of appeal by the appeal agency, the appeal agency shall notify the appellant of the acceptance or rejection of the appeal and, if rejected, the reasons for the rejection.

2. The notice of appeal shall be liberally construed in order to preserve the rights of the appellant. The appeal agency may reject the appeal for failure to comply with these rules or if the notice of appeal does not state grounds upon which any of the relief requested may be granted.

Daniel Malick, Kristine Trott, and the Community Development Department have been advised that this matter is before the Assembly.

The following procedural issues should be decided:

1. Will the Assembly accept the appeal?

2. Will the Assembly hear the appeal itself or assign to a hearing officer?

3. If the Assembly hears the appeal itself, will the Mayor preside, or will he designate a member as presiding officer?

This matter is before the Assembly sitting in its quasi-judicial capacity. Accordingly, members should avoid discussing the case outside the hearing process. With respect to this issue, the Appellate Code provides as follows:

CBJ 01.50.230 IMPARTIALITY. The functions of hearing officers and those appeal agency members participating in decisions shall be conducted in an impartial manner with due regard for the rights of all parties and the facts and the law, and consistent with the orderly and prompt dispatch of proceedings. Hearing officers and appeal agency members, except to the extent required for the disposition of ex parte matters authorized by law, shall not engage in interviews concerning the appeal with, or receive evidence or argument on the appeal from, a party, directly or indirectly, except upon opportunity for all other parties to be present. Copies of all communications with a hearing officer or appeal agency member concerning the appeal shall be served upon all parties.
Because this is an appeal, I have no recommendation on whether or how the Assembly should hear the appeal. After the Assembly has made its decisions on these issues, the Clerk will contact the parties and the presiding or hearing officer to notify them of the Assembly’s decision and to arrange for a pre-hearing conference or other proceedings.

C. Gastineau Land Trust v. Planning Commission and Landvik: Deferred consideration of Appellant's Second Motion for Reconsideration.

The Assembly's decision in Gastineau Land Trust v. Planning Commission will take effect on November 20 unless a reconsideration or stay is ordered before then. By motion dated November 2, Gastineau Land Trust requested that the Assembly reconsider its decision denying GLT's appeal. The motion did not clearly request a stay, but it did use the word "stay" in a paragraph heading and a cover letter.

At the meeting of November 6, the Assembly deferred action on the motion until this meeting. If the motion is not granted at or before this meeting, the decision denying the appeal will automatically take effect.

Until the decision takes effect, the developer proceeds with construction at the risk that the appeal could be reconsidered and granted.

The CBJ appellate code does not specify grounds for reconsideration. However, members may find some useful parallels in the court rules governing reconsideration by judges. These rules allow reconsideration if:

(i) The court has overlooked, misapplied or failed to consider a statute, decision or principle directly controlling; or

(ii) The court has overlooked or misconceived some material fact or proposition of law; or

(iii) The court has overlooked or misconceived a material question in the case; or

(iv) The law applied in the ruling has been subsequently changed by court decision or statute.

Because this is an appeal, I have no recommendation on whether or how the Assembly should hear the appeal. After the Assembly has made its decisions on these issues, the Clerk will contact the parties and the presiding or hearing officer to notify them of the Assembly’s decision.

XI. STAFF REPORTS ON NON-AGENDA ITEMS

XII. ASSEMBLY REPORTS ON NON-AGENDA ITEMS
A. Committee Reports

1. Lands Committee Report on Spaulding Meadow Winter Sports Road

B. Liaison Reports

C. Presiding Officer Reports

XIII. ASSEMBLY COMMENTS AND QUESTIONS

XIV. CONTINUATION OF PUBLIC PARTICIPATION ON PUBLIC PARTICIPATION ON NON-AGENDA ITEMS

XV. ADJOURNMENT

Note: Agenda packets are available for review at the Juneau Municipal Libraries.

ADA Accommodations Available Upon Request: Please contact the Clerk’s office 72 hours prior to any meeting so arrangements can be made to have a sign language interpreter present or an audiotape containing the Assembly’s agenda made available. The Clerk’s office telephone number is 586-5278, TDD 586-5351, e-mail: Laurie_Sica@ci.juneau.ak.us.